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Minimize

\[ \int_0^T h(X(s), \alpha(s)) \, ds + g(X(T)) \]

when \( X \) solves

\[ X'(s) = f(X(s), \alpha(s)), \quad 0 < s < T \]

\[ X(0) = x_0. \]

and \( X(s) \in \mathbb{R}^d, \alpha(s) \in B. \)
Optimal Control Ill-posed

Ex. Minimize \(-|X(1)|\) over solutions \(X' = \alpha \in [-1, 1]\).
Ex. Minimize $|X(1)|$ over solutions $X' = \alpha \in [-1, 1]$. 
Optimal Control Well-posed

\[ u(x, t) = \inf_{\alpha} \left( \int_{t}^{T} h(X(s), \alpha(s))ds + g(X(T)) \right) \]

**Hamilton-Jacobi equation:**

\[ u_t + H(u_x, x) = 0, \]
\[ u(x, T) = g(x), \] (1)

where

\[ H(\lambda, x) = \min_a (\lambda \cdot f(x, a) + h(x, a)) \]

(See e.g. L. Evans “Partial Differential Equations”.)
Optimal controls are characteristics

**Theorem**
Assume $H \in C^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, $f$, $g$, $h$ smooth etc., and $(\alpha, X)$ optimal control and state variables for the starting position $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, T]$. Then $\exists$ dual path $\lambda : [t, T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$X'(s) = H_\lambda(\lambda(s), X(s)), \quad -\lambda'(s) = H_x(\lambda(s), X(s)), \quad \lambda(T) = g'(X(T)).$$

(See e.g. P. Cannarsa, C. Sinestrari, “Semiconcave Functions, Hamilton-Jacobi Equations, and Optimal Control.”)
This is the Pontryagin principle for the case when the Hamiltonian is differentiable.
### Hamilton-Jacobi vs. Pontryagin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hamilton-Jacobi</th>
<th>Pontryagin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global min</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High dimension</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Idea:** Use Pontryagin for numerical methods, and Hamilton-Jacobi for theoretical evaluation.
\[
\min_\alpha \int_0^T h(\alpha(t)) \, dt + g(\varphi(T)),
\]
\[
h(\alpha) = \|\alpha\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2/2, \quad g(\varphi_T) = K\|\varphi_T - \varphi_-\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2
\]
and \(\varphi\) solves
\[
\varphi_t = \varepsilon \varphi_{xx} - \varepsilon^{-1} V'(\varphi) + \alpha, \quad \varphi(0, t) = \varphi(1, t) = 0, \\
\varphi(x, 0) = \varphi_0(x).
\]
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Adaptivity for Optimal Control
If $u : \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ viscosity solution of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation (1):

$$u(x, t) = \inf_{\beta} \left\{ \int_t^T L(\beta, X) dt + g(X(T)) \mid X'(t) = \beta(t), X(t) = x \right\},$$

where

$$L(x, \beta) = \sup_{\lambda} \{-\beta \cdot \lambda + H(\lambda, x)\}, \text{ and } H(\lambda, x) = \inf_{\beta} \left\{ \lambda \cdot \beta + L(x, \beta) \right\}.$$

(Legendre-type transform)
Representation as discrete minimization

Symplectic Euler

\[ X_{n+1} = X_n + \Delta t_n H_\lambda(X_n, \lambda_{n+1}), \]
\[ \lambda_n = \lambda_{n+1} + \Delta t_n H_x(X_n, \lambda_{n+1}), \]

corresponds to minimization of

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Delta t_n L(X_n, \beta_n) + g(X_N) =: \bar{u}(x_0, 0), \]

where \( X_{n+1} = X_n + \Delta t_n \beta_n \).
Assume \( \bar{u}(\cdot, t_{n+1}) \) semiconcave and \( \lambda_{n+1} \in D^+ \bar{u}(X_{n+1}, t_{n+1}) \). Since

\[
\beta \mapsto \bar{u}(X_n + \Delta t \beta, t_{n+1}) + \Delta t L(X_n, \beta)
\]

minimized for \( \beta = \beta_n \), we have

\[
\beta \mapsto \lambda_{n+1} \cdot \beta + L(X_n, \beta)
\]

minimized for \( \beta = \beta_n \). Hence \( \beta_n = H_\lambda(X_n, \lambda_{n+1}) \).

Need also show that semiconcavity of \( \bar{u}(\cdot, t_{n+1}) \) implies semiconcavity of \( \bar{u}(\cdot, t_n) \), and \( \lambda_n := \lambda_{n+1} + \Delta t H_x(X_n, \lambda_{n+1}) \). Use control \( H_\lambda(x, \lambda_{n+1}) \).
Aim: Bound error $|\tilde{u}(x_0, 0) - u(x_0, 0)|$

Introduce piecewise linear $\tilde{X}(t)$ as

$$\tilde{X}(t) = X_n + (t - t_n)\beta_n = X_n + (t - t_n)H_\lambda(X_n, \lambda_{n+1}), \quad t \in (t_n, t_{n+1})$$

$$(\tilde{u} - u)(x_0, 0) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Delta t_n L(X_n, \beta_n) + g(X_N) - u(x_0, 0)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Delta t_n L(X_n, \beta_n) + u(X_N, T) - u(x_0, 0)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Delta t_n L(X_n, \beta_n) + \int_0^T \frac{d}{dt} u(\tilde{X}(t), t) dt$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} L(X_n, \beta_n) + u_t(\tilde{X}(t), t) + u_x(\tilde{X}(t), t) \cdot \beta_n dt.$$
If we write

\[(\bar{u} - u)(x_0, 0) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} L(X_n, \beta_n) + u_t(\bar{X}(t), t) + u_x(\bar{X}(t), t) \cdot \beta_n \, dt \]

\[= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} (u_t(\bar{X}(t), t) + u_x(\bar{X}(t), t) \cdot \beta_n + L(\bar{X}(t), \beta_n) + L(X_n, \beta_n) - L(\bar{X}(t), \beta_n)) \, dt \]

and use

\[H(x, \lambda) = \min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} (\lambda \cdot \beta + L(x, \beta)) \]

together with the fact that \(u\) solves a Hamilton-Jacobi equation we get

\[\bar{u}(x_0, 0) - u(x_0, 0) \geq \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} (L(X_n, \beta_n) - L(\bar{X}(t), \beta_n)) \, dt.\]

Hence lower bound for the error.
Convergence of Symplectic Pontryagin

Theorem

Assume that the Hamiltonian satisfies

\[
\begin{align*}
|H(x, \lambda_1) - H(x, \lambda_2)| &\leq C|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|, \\
|H(x_1, \lambda) - H(x_2, \lambda)| &\leq C|x_1 - x_2|(1 + |\lambda|), \\
H(x, \cdot) &\text{ is concave.}
\end{align*}
\]

Assume that the terminal cost g is continuously differentiable, and

\[ g(x) \geq -k(1 + |x|). \]

Then there exists a solution \( \{\bar{X}_n, \bar{\lambda}_n\} \) with associated value \( \bar{u} \), such that

\[ |u - \bar{u}| = O(\delta + \Delta t). \]
Example of a nondifferentiable Hamiltonian

\[ f = \alpha \in \{-1, 1\}, \quad \inf \int_0^1 X^2 dt \]
\[ H(\lambda, x) = \min_a (\lambda \cdot f(x, a) + h(x, a)) = -|\lambda| + x^2 \]
Nondifferentiable Hamiltonian example contd.
Back to $\bar{u} - u$ error

Using

$$u_t = -H(x, u_x),$$
$$L(X_n, \beta_n) + \lambda_{n+1} \cdot \beta_n = H(x_n, \lambda_{n+1}),$$
$$\beta_n = H(\lambda(x_n, \lambda_{n+1}),$$

we have

$$(\bar{u} - u)(x_0, 0) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} L(X_n, \beta_n) + u_t(\bar{X}(t), t) + u_x(\bar{X}(t), t) \cdot \beta_n dt$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} H(X_n, \lambda_{n+1}) - H(\bar{X}(t), u_x(\bar{X}(t), t)) dt +$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} (u_x(\bar{X}(t), t) - \lambda_{n+1}) \cdot H(\lambda(X_n, \lambda_{n+1}) dt$$
The trapezoidal rule and an assumption on closeness between $\lambda_n$ and $u_x(x_n, t_n)$ gives

**Theorem**

Assume $H \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $|\lambda_n - u_x(X_n, \lambda_n)| \leq C \Delta t_{\max}$ and the value function $u$ is bounded in $C^3((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ (either globally or locally with an extra assumption on $X_n$ convergence).

Then

$$\bar{u}(x_0, 0) - u(x_0, 0) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Delta t_n^2 \rho_n + R, \quad (2)$$

with density

$$\rho_n := -\frac{H_\lambda(X_n, \lambda_{n+1}) \cdot H_x(X_n, \lambda_{n+1})}{2} \quad (3)$$

and the remainder term $|R| \leq C \Delta t_{\max}^2$, for some constant $C$. 
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Adaptivity for Optimal Control
Algorithm (Adaptivity (Numer. Math. 03 M. S. T. Z.))

Choose the error tolerance $TOL$, the initial grid \( \{t_n\}_{n=0}^N \), the parameters $s$ and $M$, and repeat the following points:

1. Calculate \( \{(X_n, \lambda_n)\}_{n=0}^N \).

2. Calculate error densities \( \{\rho_n\}_{n=0}^N \) and the corresponding approximate error densities

\[
\bar{\rho}_n := \text{sgn}(\rho_n) \max(|\rho_n|, \sqrt{\Delta t_{\text{max}}}).
\]

3. Break if

\[
\max_n \bar{r}_n < \frac{TOL}{N}.
\]

where the error indicators are defined by \( \bar{r}_n := |\bar{\rho}_n| \Delta t_n^2 \).

4. Traverse through the mesh and subdivide an interval \( (t_n, t_{n+1}) \) into $M$ parts if

\[
\bar{r}_n > s \frac{TOL}{N}.
\]

5. Update $N$ and \( \{t_n\}_{n=0}^N \) to reflect the new mesh.
Introduce a constant $c = c(t)$, such that

$$c \leq \left| \frac{\bar{\rho}(t)[\text{parent}(n, k)]}{\bar{\rho}(t)[k]} \right| \leq c^{-1},$$

$$c \leq \left| \frac{\bar{\rho}(t)[k-1]}{\bar{\rho}(t)[k]} \right| \leq c^{-1},$$

(4)

holds for all time steps $t \in \Delta t_n[k]$ and all refinement levels $k$.

**Theorem**

[Stopping] Assume that $c$ satisfies (4) for the time steps corresponding to the maximal error indicator on each refinement level, and that

$$M^2 > c^{-1}, \ s \leq \frac{c}{M}. \ \ \ \ \ (5)$$

Then each refinement level either decreases the maximal error indicator with the factor

$$\max_n \bar{r}_n[k+1] \leq \frac{c^{-1}}{M^2} \max_n \bar{r}_n[k],$$

or stops the algorithm.
Theorem
[Accuracy] The adaptive algorithm satisfies

\[
\limsup_{TOL \to 0^+} \left( TOL^{-1} |u(x_0, 0) - \bar{u}(x_0, 0)| \right) \leq 1.
\]

Theorem
[Efficiency] Assume that \( c = c(t) \) satisfies (4) for all time steps at the final refinement level, and that all initial time steps have been divided when the algorithm stops. Then there exists a constant \( C > 0 \), bounded by \( M^2 s^{-1} \), such that the final number of adaptive steps \( N \), of the algorithm 0.4, satisfies

\[
TOL N \leq C \frac{\|\bar{\rho}\|}{c} L^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|\bar{\rho}\| L^{\frac{1}{2}} \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} c(t)^{-1},
\]

and \( \|\bar{\rho}\| L^{\frac{1}{2}} \to \|\tilde{\rho}\| L^{\frac{1}{2}} \) asymptotically as \( TOL \to 0^+ \).
**Ex.** (From PROPT Manual, by Rutquist, Edvall). Minimize

\[
\int_{0}^{25} X(t)^2 + \alpha(t)^2 \, dt + \gamma(X(25) - 1)^2,
\]

subject to

\[
X'(t) = -X(t)^3 + \alpha(t), \quad 0 < t \leq 25,
\]
\[
X(0) = 1.
\]

for some large \(\gamma > 0\). The Hamiltonian is then given by

\[
H(x, \lambda) := \min_{\alpha} \left\{ -\lambda x^3 + \lambda \alpha + x^2 + \alpha^2 \right\} = -\lambda x^3 - \lambda^2/4 + x^2.
\]
Error estimates for the hyper-sensitive optimal control problem. The solid line indicates the error estimate, and the dotted line indicates the difference between the value function and the value function using a fine uniform mesh with 51200 time steps. The error estimate for the uniform mesh is approximately as large as the estimate for the finest adaptive level. Hence, the dotted line is only an approximation of the true error.
Error estimates for the hyper-sensitive optimal control problem versus the cumulative number of time steps on all refinement levels for the adaptive algorithm (solid) and uniform meshes (dotted). The number of time steps in the uniform meshes is doubled in each refinement.
Example with non-smooth Hamiltonian

**Ex.** Minimize

\[
\int_0^1 X(t)^{10} \, dt,
\]

subject to

\[
X'(t) = \alpha(t) \in [-1, 1], \quad 0 < t \leq T,
\]

\[
X(0) = 0.5.
\]

The Hamiltonian is then non-smooth

\[
H(x, \lambda) := \min_{\alpha \in [-1, 1]} \left\{ \lambda \alpha + x^{10} \right\} = -|\lambda| + x^{10},
\]

but can be regularized by

\[
H_\delta(x, \lambda) := -\sqrt{\lambda^2 + \delta^2} + x^{10},
\]

for some small \( \delta > 0 \).
Changing the Hamiltonian $H$ to $H^\delta$, with $|H - H^\delta| = O(\delta)$ introduces an error of order $\delta$ in the value function $u$. However, the remainder term $R$ in the error representation contains second derivatives of $H$, and $\partial_{\lambda\lambda} H^\delta = O(\delta^{-1})$.

The a priori error result however gives bound $O(\delta + \Delta t)$...
Figure: $\delta = 10^{-6}$ and $TOL = 10^{-6}$. The blue and red lines indicate solutions from adaptive and uniform meshes, respectively, corresponding to the lower right plot.
Figure: The solid lines indicate the error estimate while the dotted lines indicate the difference between the value function and the value function using the finest mesh for the uniform refinement.
Ex. (Fuller’s problem)

(From PROPT Manual, by Rutquist, Edvall). Minimize

$$\int_{0}^{1} X_1(t)^2 dt + \gamma(X_1(1) - 0.01)^2 + \gamma X_2(1)^2,$$

subject to

$$X_1'(t) = X_2(t), \quad 0 < t \leq T,$$
$$X_2'(t) = -\alpha(t), \quad X_1(0) = 0.01, \quad X_2(0) = 0,$$

and

$$|\alpha(t)| \leq 1,$$

for some large $\gamma > 0$. The Hamiltonian is then non-smooth

$$H(x_1, x_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) := \min_{\alpha \in [-1, 1]} \left\{ \lambda_1 x_2 - \lambda_2 \alpha + x_1^2 \right\} = \lambda_1 x_2 - |\lambda_2| + x_1^2,$$

but can be regularized by

$$H_\delta(x_1, x_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) := \lambda_1 x_2 - \sqrt{\lambda_2^2 + \delta^2} + x_1^2,$$

for some small $\delta > 0$. 
Figure: $\gamma = 1$, $\delta = 10^{-7}$ and $TOL = 10^{-6}$. The blue and red lines here indicate solutions from adaptive and uniform meshes, respectively.
Figure: The solid lines indicate the error estimate and the dotted lines indicate the difference between the value function and the value function using the finest mesh for the uniform refinement.
Ex: Singular problem

Minimize
\[ \int_0^4 (\alpha(t) - X(t))^2 dt + (X(4) - X_{\text{ref}}(4))^2 \]

subject to
\[ X'(t) = \frac{\alpha(t)}{((t - 5/3)^2 + \epsilon^2)^{\beta/2}} \approx \frac{\alpha(t)}{|t - 5/3|^\beta}, \]
\[ X(0) = X_{\text{ref}}(0). \]

Reference solves
\[ X'_{\text{ref}}(t) = \frac{X_{\text{ref}}(t)}{((t - 5/3)^2 + \epsilon^2)^{\beta/2}} \]
and
\[ X_{\text{ref}}(t) = \exp \left( \frac{t - 5/3}{\epsilon^\beta} \right) {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; -\frac{(t - 5/3)^2}{\epsilon^2} \right). \]
OC problem with explicit time dep. May be reformulated with time
independent Hamiltonian by extending the state space:

\[ s'(t) = 1, \quad s(0) = 0. \]

Then

\[ H(x, s; \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \frac{\lambda_1 x}{((s - 5/3)^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{\beta/2}} - \frac{\lambda_1^2}{4((s - 5/3)^2 + \varepsilon^2)^\beta} + \lambda_2. \]
Figure: Red: Uniform. Blue: Adaptive. $\varepsilon = 10^{-10}, \beta = 3/4$. 
Figure : Mesh size versus time
Ex: Computation of optimal designs. Find $\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow \{\sigma_-, \sigma_+\}$

$$\text{div}(\sigma \partial_x \varphi(x)) = 0 \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \sigma \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} \bigg|_{\partial \Omega} = 1$$

$$\min_{\sigma} \left( \int_{\partial \Omega} I \varphi ds + \eta \int_{\Omega} \sigma dx \right).$$

Regularized Hamiltonian $H^\delta$, with $\delta \rightarrow 0$ possible.

A priori error est.: Sandberg, "Extended applicability of the Symplectic Pontryagin method", submitted.


Conclusions

- Error representation for value function associated with optimal control problems using discretization of Hamiltonian system.
- May be used for adaptive algorithms.
- Adaptivity natural since some iterative method must be used to solve the initial-terminal time boundary value problem. A solution on a grid level may be used as initial guess for the solution on the next level.
- Seems adaptivity is not essential for problems with discontinuous controls.
- Seems that the computable leading order term in the error representation is dominant even in cases where the Hamiltonian has large second order derivatives. Open problem to show this theoretically.